

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 05 2005

**HAMRE, SCHUMANN,
MUELLER & LARSON, P.C.**
AN INTERNATIONAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW FIRM

FAX TRANSMISSION

October 5, 2005TO: Mail Stop: AMENDMENT
Examiner: M. H. Tran
Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

FROM: Douglas P. Mueller

OUR REF: 10873.1338US01

TELEPHONE: (612) 455.3800

Total pages, including cover letter: 13


PTO FAX NUMBER: 571.273.8300

If all pages are NOT received, please call us at 612.455.3800 or fax us at 612.455.3801.

Title of Document: Response


Applicant: NEGAMI et al
Serial No.: 10/700,290
App. Filed: November 3, 2003
Group Art No.: 2818

Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-3478. Please consider this a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME for a sufficient number of months to enter these papers, if appropriate.

By: 
Name: Douglas P. Mueller
Reg. No. 30,300

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Lisa A. Dorn


Signature10/5/05
Date225 SOUTH SIXTH STREET · SUITE 2650 · MINNEAPOLIS · MN 55402
TEL 612.455.3800 · FAX 612.455.3801
WWW.HSML.COM · MAIL@HSML.COM

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 05 2005

S/N 10/700,290

PATENTIN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Negami, et al.	Examiner:	M. H. Tran
Serial No.:	10/700,290	Group Art Unit:	2818
Filed:	11/03/2003	Docket No.:	10873.1338US01
Title:	ELECTRONIC DEVICE AND METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING THE SAME		

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.6(d):

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on October 5, 2005.

By: 

Name: Lisa A. Dorn

Mail Stop AMENDMENT
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed July 8, 2005, favorable reconsideration of this application is requested in view of the following remarks.

Claim 1 was rejected as being anticipated by Tanaka (US 5,236,894). Applicants traverse this rejection. The Examiner contends that claim 1 language including "an element from group IIa, an element from group IIb and an element from group IIIb" is broad and is therefore anticipated by Tanaka. Applicants respectfully traverse this view. There is no cross-over whatsoever between the elements required by claim 1 and those disclosed by Tanaka.

The designations used by Tanaka are for element groups based on a different version of the periodic table from that used for the present invention. This is clear from the lists of elements listed as examples in the reference and the present application. The periodic table referred to by Tanaka is provided on page 4 of this Response, and the periodic table referred to